

Separability and commensurated subgroups

Colin D. Reid (joint work with Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace,
Peter H. Kropholler and Phillip R. Wesolek)

University of Newcastle, Australia

Australian Algebra Conference, UTS, November 2017

Let G be a group. Two subgroups H and K of G are **commensurate** if $|H : H \cap K|$ and $|K : H \cap K|$ are finite.

The **commensurator** of H in G is the group $\text{Comm}_G(H)$ of elements $g \in G$ such that gHg^{-1} is commensurate with H .
 H is **commensurated** in G if $\text{Comm}_G(H) = G$.

‘Trivial’ examples:

- ▶ Normal subgroups are commensurated.
- ▶ If H is commensurated, and K is commensurate with H , then K is commensurated. In particular, **virtually normal** subgroups are commensurated, that is, subgroups H of G such that some finite index subgroup of H is normal in G .

Let G be a group. Two subgroups H and K of G are **commensurate** if $|H : H \cap K|$ and $|K : H \cap K|$ are finite. The **commensurator** of H in G is the group $\text{Comm}_G(H)$ of elements $g \in G$ such that gHg^{-1} is commensurate with H . H is **commensurated** in G if $\text{Comm}_G(H) = G$.

‘Trivial’ examples:

- ▶ Normal subgroups are commensurated.
- ▶ If H is commensurated, and K is commensurate with H , then K is commensurated. In particular, **virtually normal** subgroups are commensurated, that is, subgroups H of G such that some finite index subgroup of H is normal in G .

Let G be a group. Two subgroups H and K of G are **commensurate** if $|H : H \cap K|$ and $|K : H \cap K|$ are finite. The **commensurator** of H in G is the group $\text{Comm}_G(H)$ of elements $g \in G$ such that gHg^{-1} is commensurate with H . H is **commensurated** in G if $\text{Comm}_G(H) = G$.

‘Trivial’ examples:

- ▶ Normal subgroups are commensurated.
- ▶ If H is commensurated, and K is commensurate with H , then K is commensurated. In particular, **virtually normal** subgroups are commensurated, that is, subgroups H of G such that some finite index subgroup of H is normal in G .

More interesting sources of examples (not virtually normal in general):

- ▶ Examples arising from arithmetic groups, e.g. $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is commensurated in $SL_n(\mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ If X is a proper metric space and $G \leq \text{Isom}(X)$ is such that orbits of G are closed and discrete, then the stabilizers in G of points in X are all commensurate to one another; in particular, they are commensurated in G .
- ▶ If G is a totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group, then G has a base of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open subgroups (Van Dantzig's theorem). All such subgroups are commensurate to one another, so in particular they are commensurated.

More interesting sources of examples (not virtually normal in general):

- ▶ Examples arising from arithmetic groups, e.g. $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is commensurated in $SL_n(\mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ If X is a proper metric space and $G \leq \text{Isom}(X)$ is such that orbits of G are closed and discrete, then the stabilizers in G of points in X are all commensurate to one another; in particular, they are commensurated in G .
- ▶ If G is a totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group, then G has a base of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open subgroups (Van Dantzig's theorem). All such subgroups are commensurate to one another, so in particular they are commensurated.

More interesting sources of examples (not virtually normal in general):

- ▶ Examples arising from arithmetic groups, e.g. $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is commensurated in $SL_n(\mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ If X is a proper metric space and $G \leq \text{Isom}(X)$ is such that orbits of G are closed and discrete, then the stabilizers in G of points in X are all commensurate to one another; in particular, they are commensurated in G .
- ▶ If G is a totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) group, then G has a base of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open subgroups (Van Dantzig's theorem). All such subgroups are commensurate to one another, so in particular they are commensurated.

The **profinite topology** of the group G is the coarsest group topology in which every finite index subgroup is open. The closure of $H \leq G$ in this topology is given by

$$\bar{H} = \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} K = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}} HN,$$

where \mathcal{K}_H is the set of finite index subgroups containing H and \mathcal{N} is the set of finite index normal subgroups of G . If $H = \bar{H}$ we say H is **separable** in G . There is always a smallest separable subgroup, formed as the intersection of all (normal) subgroups of finite index; groups in which the trivial subgroup is separable are known as **residually finite** groups.

The **profinite topology** of the group G is the coarsest group topology in which every finite index subgroup is open. The closure of $H \leq G$ in this topology is given by

$$\bar{H} = \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} K = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}} HN,$$

where \mathcal{K}_H is the set of finite index subgroups containing H and \mathcal{N} is the set of finite index normal subgroups of G . If $H = \bar{H}$ we say H is **separable** in G . There is always a smallest separable subgroup, formed as the intersection of all (normal) subgroups of finite index; groups in which the trivial subgroup is separable are known as **residually finite** groups.

In general it is hard to tell whether a given subgroup is separable (even if G is residually finite). For example, a non-abelian free group G has many infinite simple quotients G/H , and then K is inseparable in G for all $H \leq K < G$.

We define the **residual closure** of $H \leq G$ to be

$$\tilde{H} = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_H} HN,$$

where now \mathcal{N}_H is the set of normal subgroups of G such that $|HN : N| < \infty$. H is **weakly separable** if $H = \tilde{H}$; equivalently, H is an intersection of virtually normal subgroups.

In general $\tilde{H} \leq \overline{H}$; think of weakly separable as a common generalization of ‘separable’ and ‘normal’.

In general it is hard to tell whether a given subgroup is separable (even if G is residually finite). For example, a non-abelian free group G has many infinite simple quotients G/H , and then K is inseparable in G for all $H \leq K < G$.

We define the **residual closure** of $H \leq G$ to be

$$\tilde{H} = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_H} HN,$$

where now \mathcal{N}_H is the set of normal subgroups of G such that $|HN : N| < \infty$. H is **weakly separable** if $H = \tilde{H}$; equivalently, H is an intersection of virtually normal subgroups.

In general $\tilde{H} \leq \overline{H}$; think of weakly separable as a common generalization of ‘separable’ and ‘normal’.

In general it is hard to tell whether a given subgroup is separable (even if G is residually finite). For example, a non-abelian free group G has many infinite simple quotients G/H , and then K is inseparable in G for all $H \leq K < G$.

We define the **residual closure** of $H \leq G$ to be

$$\tilde{H} = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_H} HN,$$

where now \mathcal{N}_H is the set of normal subgroups of G such that $|HN : N| < \infty$. H is **weakly separable** if $H = \tilde{H}$; equivalently, H is an intersection of virtually normal subgroups.

In general $\tilde{H} \leq \bar{H}$; think of weakly separable as a common generalization of ‘separable’ and ‘normal’.

In general it is hard to tell whether a given subgroup is separable (even if G is residually finite). For example, a non-abelian free group G has many infinite simple quotients G/H , and then K is inseparable in G for all $H \leq K < G$.

We define the **residual closure** of $H \leq G$ to be

$$\tilde{H} = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_H} HN,$$

where now \mathcal{N}_H is the set of normal subgroups of G such that $|HN : N| < \infty$. H is **weakly separable** if $H = \tilde{H}$; equivalently, H is an intersection of virtually normal subgroups.

In general $\tilde{H} \leq \overline{H}$; think of weakly separable as a common generalization of ‘separable’ and ‘normal’.

Main Theorem (Caprace–Kropholler–R.–Wesolek)

Let G be a group and let H be a commensurated subgroup of G . Suppose that G is generated by finitely many cosets of H . Then \tilde{H} is virtually normal in G , that is,

$$N = \bigcap_{g \in G} g\tilde{H}g^{-1}$$

has finite index in \tilde{H} ; in addition, $\tilde{H} = HN$.

Corollary

Let G be a finitely generated group. Then every commensurated weakly separable subgroup of G is virtually normal.

Main Theorem (Caprace–Kropholler–R.–Wesolek)

Let G be a group and let H be a commensurated subgroup of G . Suppose that G is generated by finitely many cosets of H . Then \tilde{H} is virtually normal in G , that is,

$$N = \bigcap_{g \in G} g\tilde{H}g^{-1}$$

has finite index in \tilde{H} ; in addition, $\tilde{H} = HN$.

Corollary

Let G be a finitely generated group. Then every commensurated weakly separable subgroup of G is virtually normal.

From now on ‘Corollary’ = corollary/application of the Main Theorem.

The **Hirsch length** $h(G)$ of a soluble group is

$$h(G) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \dim G^{(j)} / G^{(j+1)} \otimes \mathbb{Q};$$

this generalizes to virtually soluble groups by setting $h(G) = h(H)$ for some (any) finite index soluble subgroup H .
A group G is **polycyclic** if it has a series

$$\{1\} \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

of subnormal subgroups, such that each of the factors G_{i+1}/G_i is cyclic.

From now on ‘Corollary’ = corollary/application of the Main Theorem.

The **Hirsch length** $h(G)$ of a soluble group is

$$h(G) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \dim G^{(j)} / G^{(j+1)} \otimes \mathbb{Q};$$

this generalizes to virtually soluble groups by setting $h(G) = h(H)$ for some (any) finite index soluble subgroup H .
A group G is **polycyclic** if it has a series

$$\{1\} \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

of subnormal subgroups, such that each of the factors G_{i+1}/G_i is cyclic.

From now on ‘Corollary’ = corollary/application of the Main Theorem.

The **Hirsch length** $h(G)$ of a soluble group is

$$h(G) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \dim G^{(j)} / G^{(j+1)} \otimes \mathbb{Q};$$

this generalizes to virtually soluble groups by setting $h(G) = h(H)$ for some (any) finite index soluble subgroup H .
A group G is **polycyclic** if it has a series

$$\{1\} \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

of subnormal subgroups, such that each of the factors G_{i+1}/G_i is cyclic.

We obtain several characterizations of virtually polycyclic groups in terms of separability of subgroups.

Corollary (based on discussions between P. Kropholler and B. Nucinkis; cf Jeanes–Wilson)

Let G be a finitely generated virtually soluble group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) G is virtually polycyclic.
- (ii) Every subgroup of G is separable.
- (iii) Every subnormal subgroup of G is separable.
- (iv) For all $H \leq G$, H is virtually normal in $\text{Comm}_G(H)$.
- (v) For all subnormal subgroups H of G and all $J \subseteq \text{Comm}_G(H)$ finite, H is virtually normal in $\langle J \cup H \rangle$.

As shown by Meskin, most Baumslag–Solitar groups (groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}*_\phi$) are not residually finite. More generally, consider the class \mathcal{P}_n of fundamental groups of graphs of groups, such that each vertex and edge group is virtually polycyclic of some fixed Hirsch length n . For example, generalized Baumslag–Solitar groups belong to \mathcal{P}_1 .

We find that residually finite groups in \mathcal{P}_n have a special structure.

Corollary

Suppose $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is residually finite and does not fix any end of the associated Bass–Serre tree T . Then G has a polycyclic normal subgroup N of Hirsch length n , such that N fixes a vertex of T and G/N is virtually free.

As shown by Meskin, most Baumslag–Solitar groups (groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}*_\phi$) are not residually finite. More generally, consider the class \mathcal{P}_n of fundamental groups of graphs of groups, such that each vertex and edge group is virtually polycyclic of some fixed Hirsch length n . For example, generalized Baumslag–Solitar groups belong to \mathcal{P}_1 .

We find that residually finite groups in \mathcal{P}_n have a special structure.

Corollary

Suppose $G \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is residually finite and does not fix any end of the associated Bass–Serre tree T . Then G has a polycyclic normal subgroup N of Hirsch length n , such that N fixes a vertex of T and G/N is virtually free.

Corollary

Let X be a uniquely geodesic metric space such that closed balls in X are compact, and let $G \leq \text{Isom}(X)$ be finitely generated. Suppose that G does not preserve any proper nonempty convex subspace of X , and suppose there is $x \in X$ such that \overline{Gx} is discrete. Then G_x is commensurated and the following are equivalent:

- (i) G_x is weakly separable in G ;
- (ii) G_x is finite;
- (iii) G is **properly discontinuous**: for each compact subset K of X , the set $\{g \in G \mid gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

A **lattice** in a locally compact group G is a discrete subgroup Γ , such that G/Γ admits a finite invariant measure. Lattices are a powerful tool for understanding semisimple algebraic groups, and more generally, lattices in locally compact groups often give interesting examples of properly discontinuous groups of isometries, and vice versa. If G is compactly generated and G/Γ is compact, then Γ is finitely generated.

For general locally compact groups, lattice theory is most well-developed in the following situation: Γ is an **irreducible lattice** in a direct product $G_1 \times G_2$ of locally compact groups, meaning that the projection of Γ onto each factor is nondiscrete. The best-known non-linear examples (due to e.g. Burger–Mozes) come from the case when G_1 and G_2 are automorphism groups of locally finite trees.

A **lattice** in a locally compact group G is a discrete subgroup Γ , such that G/Γ admits a finite invariant measure. Lattices are a powerful tool for understanding semisimple algebraic groups, and more generally, lattices in locally compact groups often give interesting examples of properly discontinuous groups of isometries, and vice versa. If G is compactly generated and G/Γ is compact, then Γ is finitely generated.

For general locally compact groups, lattice theory is most well-developed in the following situation: Γ is an **irreducible lattice** in a direct product $G_1 \times G_2$ of locally compact groups, meaning that the projection of Γ onto each factor is nondiscrete. The best-known non-linear examples (due to e.g. Burger–Mozes) come from the case when G_1 and G_2 are automorphism groups of locally finite trees.

Write pr_i for the projection map from Γ to G_i .

Corollary (cf Caprace–Monod)

Suppose that Γ is a finitely generated, residually finite lattice in the product $G_1 \times G_2$ of locally compact groups. Suppose that G_1 is a nondiscrete t.d.l.c. group, every infinite closed normal subgroup of G_1 has trivial centralizer, and that $\text{pr}_1(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_1 . Then pr_2 is injective.

Corollary (cf Burger–Mozes)

Let T_1 and T_2 be locally finite leafless trees. Suppose that $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(T_1) \times \text{Aut}(T_2)$ has finite point stabilizers on $T_1 \times T_2$ and that $\Gamma \backslash (T_1 \times T_2)$ is compact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $v \in VT_i$ such that Γ_v is weakly separable in Γ ;
- (ii) $|\Gamma : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2| < \infty$, where $\Gamma_i = \Gamma \cap \text{Aut}(T_i)$.

Write pr_i for the projection map from Γ to G_i .

Corollary (cf Caprace–Monod)

Suppose that Γ is a finitely generated, residually finite lattice in the product $G_1 \times G_2$ of locally compact groups. Suppose that G_1 is a nondiscrete t.d.l.c. group, every infinite closed normal subgroup of G_1 has trivial centralizer, and that $\text{pr}_1(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_1 . Then pr_2 is injective.

Corollary (cf Burger–Mozes)

Let T_1 and T_2 be locally finite leafless trees. Suppose that $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(T_1) \times \text{Aut}(T_2)$ has finite point stabilizers on $T_1 \times T_2$ and that $\Gamma \backslash (T_1 \times T_2)$ is compact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $v \in VT_i$ such that Γ_v is weakly separable in Γ ;
- (ii) $|\Gamma : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2| < \infty$, where $\Gamma_i = \Gamma \cap \text{Aut}(T_i)$.

References:

1. M. Burger and Sh. Mozes, Lattices in product of trees, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 92 (2001), 151–194.
2. P.-E. Caprace, P. H. Kropholler, C. D. Reid and P. R. Wesolek, On the residual and profinite closures of commensurated subgroups, [arXiv:1706.06853v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.06853v2).
3. P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod, Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 150 (2011) 1, 97–128.
4. P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod, A lattice in more than two Kac-Moody groups is arithmetic, *Israel J. Math.* 190 (2012), 413–444.
5. S. C. Jeanes and J. S. Wilson, On finitely generated groups with many profinite-closed subgroups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 31(2) (1978/9), 120–122.
6. S. Meskin, Nonresidually finite one-relator groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 164 (1972), 105–114.